# BGGN 213 

## Unsupervised Learning II

 Lecture 10 Barly Grant gGCSanDiego
## http://thegrantlab.org/bggn213

## Recap of Lecture 8

- Introduction to machine learning
- Unsupervised, supervised and reinforcement learning
- Clustering
- K-means clustering
- Hierarchical clustering
- Dimensionality reduction, visualization and 'structure' analysis
- Principal Component Analysis (PCA)
[Muddy Point Feedback Link]

A long time ago in a galaxy far,
far away....

David Robinson
@drob

## Every linear algebra class

Me: What are eigenvectors

Teacher: You can think of them as an ndimensional kernel subspace

Me: No I can't
3:08 PM - 28 Mar 2016

## PCA: Principal Component Analysis

PCA projects the features onto the principal components.
The motivation is to reduce the features dimensionality while only losing a small amount of information.
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## PCA: Principal Component Analysis

Principal components are new low dimensional axis (or surfaces) closest to the observations


## PCA: Principal Component Analysis

The data have maximum variance along PC1 (then PC2 etc.) which makes the first few PCs useful for visualizing our data and as a basis for further analysis


## Recap: PCA objectives

- To reduce dimensionality
- To visualize multidimensional data
- To choose the most useful variables (features)
- To identify groupings of objects (e.g. genes/samples)
- To identify outliers


## Practical PCA issue: Scaling

| > data(mtcars) <br> > head(mtcars) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | mpg | cyl | disp | hp | drat | w | qsec | c vs |  | gear | arb |  |
| Mazda RX4 | 21.0 | 6 | 160 | 110 | 3.902 | 2.620 | 16.46 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 4 |  |
| Mazda RX4 Wag | 21.0 | 6 | 160 | 110 | 3.902 | 2.875 | 17.02 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 4 |  |
| Datsun 710 | 22.8 | 4 | 108 | 93 | 3.85 | 2.320 | 18.61 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 |  |
| Hornet 4 Drive | 21.4 | 6 | 258 | 110 | 3.08 | 3.215 | 19.44 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 1 |  |
| Hornet Sportabout | 18.7 | 8 | 360 | 175 | 3.15 | 3.440 | 17.02 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 2 |  |
| Valiant | 18.1 | 6 | 225 | 105 | 2.76 | 3.460 | 20.22 | 21 | 0 | 3 | 1 |  |
| \# Means and standard deviations vary a lot |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| > round (colMeans(mtcars), 2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20.096 .19230 | 7214 | 46.69 |  | . 60 | 3.22 | 17. |  | 0.44 |  | 0.41 | 3.69 | 2.81 |
| > round(apply(mtcars, 2, sd), 2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6.031 .79123 | 946 | 68.56 |  | . 53 | 0.98 |  |  | 0.50 |  | 0.50 | 0.74 | 1.62 |

## Practical PCA issue: Scaling

## prcomp(x, scale=FALSE)

## prcomp(x, scale=TRUE)



## Your turn!

# Unsupervised Learning Mini-Project Sections 1 \& 2 only please 

Input: read, View/head, PCA: prcomp, Cluster: kmeans, hclust Compare: plot, table, etc.

## Reference Slides

## This PCA plot shows clusters of cell types.

This graph was drawn from single-cell RNA-seq.
There were about 10,000 transcribed genes in each cell.


Pollen et al. Nature Biotechnology 2014

## This PCA plot shows clusters of cell types.

Each dot represents a single-cell and its transcription profile The general idea is that cells with similar transcription should cluster.


Pollen et al. Nature Biotechnology 2014

## This PCA plot shows clusters of cell types.

How does transcription from 10,000 genes get compressed to a single dot on a graph?


## What does PCA aim to do?

- PCA takes a dataset with a lot of dimensions (i.e. lots of cells) and flattens it to 2 or 3 dimensions so we can look at it.
- It tries to find a meaningful way to flatten the data by focusing on the things that are different between cells. (much, much more on this later)


## A PCA example

Again, we'll start with just two cells Here's the data:

| Gene | Cell1 reads | Cell2 reads |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a | 10 | 8 |
| b | 0 | 2 |
| c | 14 | 10 |
| d | 33 | 45 |
| e | 50 | 42 |
| f | 80 | 72 |
| g | 95 | 90 |
| h | 44 | 50 |
| i | 60 | 50 |
| $\ldots$ (etc) | $\ldots$ (etc) | $\ldots$ (etc) |

Here is a 2-D plot of the data from 2 cells.


Generally speaking, the dots are spread out along a diagonal line.


Generally speaking, the dots are spread out along a diagonal line.

Another way to think about this is that the maximum variation in the data is between the two endpoints of this line.
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Generally speaking, the dots are also spread out a little above and below the first line.
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Another way to think about this is that the $2^{\text {nd }}$
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If we rotate the whole graph, the two lines that we drew make new X and Y axes.
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If we rotate the whole graph, the two lines that we drew make new $X$ and $Y$ axes.

This makes the left/right, above/below variation easier to see.

1) The data varies a lot left and right

2) The data varies a little up and down


Note: All of the points can be drawn in terms of left/right + up/down, just like any other 2-D graph.

That is to say, we do not need another line to describe "diagonal" variation - we've already captured the two directions that can have variation.

These two "new" (or "rotated") axes that describe the variation in the data are "Principal Components" (PCs)
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PC1 (the first principal component) is the axis that spans the most variation.


These two "new" axes that describe the variation in the data are "Principal Components" (PCs)

PC1 (the first principal component) is the axis that spans the most variation.

PC2 is the axis that spans the second most


## General ideas so far...

- For each gene, we plotted a point based on how many reads were from each cell.
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## General ideas so far...

- For each gene, we plotted a point based on how many reads were from each cell.

- PC1 captures the direction where most of the variation is.
- PC2 captures the direction with the $2^{\text {nd }}$ most variation.

For now, let's focus on PC1


The length and direction of PC1 is mostly determined by the circled genes.
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We can score genes based on how much they influence PC1.

| Gene | Influence <br> on PC1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| a | high |
| $b$ | low |
| c | low |
| d | low |
| $e$ | high |
| f | high |
| .. | ... |

The length and direction of PC1 is mostly determined by the circled genes.


Some genes have more influence on PC1 than others.

| Gene | Influence <br> on PC1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| a | high |
| b | low |
| c | low |
| d | low |
| e | high |
| f | high |
| ... | ... |

The length and direction of PC1 is mostly determined by the circled genes.


Some genes have more influence on PC1 than others.

| Gene | Influence <br> on PC1 | In <br> numbers |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| a | high | 10 |
| $b$ | low | 0.5 |
| c | low | 0.2 |
| d | low | -0.2 |
| e | high | 13 |
| f | high | -14 |
| .. | $\ldots$ |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Genes with little influence on PC1 get values close to zero, and genes with more influence get numbers further from zero.


## Genes that influence PC2



| Gene | Influence <br> on PC2 | In <br> numbers |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| a | medium | 3 |
| b | high | 10 |
| c | high | 8 |
| d | high | -12 |
| e | low | 0.2 |
| f | low | -0.1 |
| .. | ... |  |

## Our two PCs

| PC1 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gene | Influence <br> on PC1 | In <br> numbers |
| a | high | 10 |
| b | low | 0.5 |
| c | low | 0.2 |
| d | low | -0.2 |
| e | high | 13 |
| f | high | -14 |
| $\ldots$ | ... |  |


| PC2 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gene | Influence <br> on PC2 | In <br> numbers |
| a | medium | 3 |
| b | high | 10 |
| c | high | 8 |
| d | high | -12 |
| e | low | 0.2 |
| f | low | -0.1 |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |  |

## Using the two Principal Components to plot cells

Combining the read counts for all genes in a cell to get a single value.

| PC1 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gene | Influence <br> on PC1 | In <br> numbers |
| a | high | 10 |
| b | low | 0.5 |
| c | low | 0.2 |
| d | low | -0.2 |
| e | high | 13 |
| f | high | -14 |
| $\ldots$ | ... |  |


| PC2 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gene | Influence <br> on PC2 | In <br> numbers |
| a | medium | 3 |
| b | high | 10 |
| c | high | 8 |
| d | high | -12 |
| e | low | 0.2 |
| f | low | -0.1 |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |  |

## Using the two Principal Components to plot cells

Combining the read counts for all genes in a cell to get a single value.

| The original read counts |  |  | PC1 |  |  | PC2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gene | Cell1 | Cell2 | Gene | Influence | In | Gene | Influence | In |
| a | 10 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | numbers |
| b | 0 | 2 | a | high | 10 | a | medium | 3 |
|  |  |  | b | low | 0.5 | b | high | 10 |
| c | 14 | 10 | C | low | 0.2 | C | high | 8 |
| d | 33 | 45 | d | low | -0.2 | d | high | -12 |
| e | 50 | 42 | e | high | 13 | e | low | 0.2 |
| f | 80 | 72 | f | high | -14 | f | low | -0.1 |
| g | 95 | 90 | ... | ... |  | ... | ... |  |
| h | 44 | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| i | 60 | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| etc | etc | etc |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Using the two Principal Components to plot cells

Combining the read counts for all genes in a cell to get a single value.

The original read counts

| Gene | Cell1 | Cell2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| a | 10 | 8 |
| $b$ | 0 | 2 |
| $c$ | 14 | 10 |
| d | 33 | 45 |
| $e$ | 50 | 42 |
| f | 80 | 72 |
| g | 95 | 90 |
| h | 44 | 50 |
| i | 60 | 50 |
| etc | etc | etc |

PC2

| Gene | Influence on PC1 | In numbers | Gene | Influence on PC2 | In numbers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a | high | 10 | a | medium | 3 |
| b | low | 0.5 | b | high | 10 |
| c | low | 0.2 | c | high | 8 |
|  |  | -0.2 | d | high | -12 |
|  | high | 13 | e | low | 0.2 |
|  |  | -14 | f | low | -0.1 |
|  |  | - | $\ldots$ | ... |  |

Cell1 PC1 score $=($ read count * influence $)+\ldots$ for all genes

## Using the two Principal Components to plot cells

Combining the read counts for all genes in a cell to get a single value.

| The original read counts | PC1 | PC2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gene Cell1 Cell2 <br> a 10 8 | Gene Influence <br> on PC1 In <br> numbers | Gene | Influence on PC2 | In numbers |
| 0 | a high 10 | a | medium | 3 |
| 14 | b low 0.5 | b | high | 10 |
| 14 | low 0.2 | C | high | 8 |
| d $33 \quad 45$ | low -0.2 | d | high | -12 |
| e 5042 | e high 13 | e | low | 0.2 |
| 8072 | f high -14 | f | low | -0.1 |
| 9590 |  | ... | ... |  |
| 4450 | Cell1 PC1 score $=(10 * 10)+\ldots$ |  |  |  |
| 6050 |  |  |  |  |
| etc etc etc |  |  |  |  |

## Using the two Principal Components to plot cells

Combining the read counts for all genes in a cell to get a single value.

| The original read counts | PC1 | PC2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gene Cell1 Cell2 <br>    | Gene Influence In on PC1 numbers | Gene | Influence on PC2 | In numbers |
| a ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}\text { a } & \text { high } & 10\end{array}$ | a | medium | 3 |
| $\text { b } \quad 0 \quad 2$ | b low 0.5 | b | high | 10 |
| 14 | c low 0.f | C | high | 8 |
| d $33 \quad 45$ | d low -0.2 | d | high | -12 |
| e 5042 | $\mathrm{e}$ <br> high | e | low | 0.2 |
| $80 \quad 72$ | f <br> high $-14$ | f | low | -0.1 |
| g 9590 | $\ldots$ | ... | ... |  |
| 4450 | - |  |  |  |
| 6050 | - |  |  |  |
| etc etc etc | Cell1 PC1 score $=(10 * 10)+(0$ | .5) + .. |  |  |

## Using the two Principal Components to plot cells

Combining the read counts for all genes in a cell to get a single value.

The original read counts

| Gene | Cell1 | Cell2 | Gene | Influence <br> on PC1 | In <br> numbers |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| a | 10 | 8 |  |  |  |
| b | 0 | 2 | a | high | 10 |
| c | 14 | 10 | b | low | 0.5 |
| d | 33 | 45 | c | low | 0.2 |
| e | 50 | 42 | low | -0.2 |  |
| f | 80 | 72 | e | high | 13 |
| g | 95 | 90 | high | -14 |  |


| Gene | Influence <br> on PC2 | In <br> numbers |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| a | medium | 3 |
| b | high | 10 |
| c | high | 8 |
| d | high | -12 |
| e | low | 0.2 |
| f | low | -0.1 |
| .. | $\ldots$ |  |

## Using the two Principal Components to plot cells

Combining the read counts for all genes in a cell to get a single value.


## Using the two Principal Components to plot cells

Combining the read counts for all genes in a cell to get a single value.


## Using the two Principal Components to plot cells

Combining the read counts for all genes in a cell to get a single value.

| The original read <br> counts |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gene | Cell1 | Cell2 |
| a | 10 | 8 |
| b | 0 | 2 |
| c | 14 | 10 |
| d | 33 | 45 |
| e | 50 | 42 |
| f | 80 | 72 |
| g | 95 | 90 |
| h | 44 | 50 |
| i | 60 | 50 |
| etc | etc | etc |


|  | PC1 |  |  | PC2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gene | Influence on PC1 | In numbers | Gene | Influence on PC2 | In numbers |
| a | high | 10 | a | medium | 3 |
| b | low | 0.5 | b | high | 10 |
| c | low | 0.2 | c | high | 8 |
| d | low | -0.2 | d | high | -12 |
| e | high | 13 | e | low | 0.2 |
| f | high | -14 | f | low | -0.1 |
| ... | ... |  | $\ldots$ | ... |  |
| Cell1 PC1 score $=(10 * 10)+(0 * 0.5)+\ldots$ etc... $=12$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cell1 PC2 score $=(10 * 3)+(0 * 10)+\ldots$ etc... $=6$ |  |  |  |  |  |



Cell1 PC1 score $=(10 * 10)+(0 * 0.5)+\ldots$ etc... $=12$
Cell1 PC2 score $=(10$ * 3$)+(0$ * 10 $)+\ldots$ etc... $=6$


Now calculate scores for Cell2


Now calculate scores for Cell2
Cell2 PC1 score $=(8 * 10)+(2 * 0.5)+\ldots$ etc... $=2$
Cell2 PC2 score $=(8$ * 3$)+(2$ * 10 $)+\quad .$. etc... $=8$


If we sequenced a third cell, and its transcription was similar to cell 1, it would get scores similar to cell 1's.


If we sequenced a third cell, and its transcription was similar to cell 1, it would get scores similar to cell 1's.

## Hooray! We know how they plotted all of the cells!!!



# Back to lab <br> Focus on Section 3 to 6... 

## Unsupervised Learning Mini-Project

Input: read, View/head,
PCA: prcomp,
Cluster: kmeans, hclust
Compare: plot, table, etc.
[ Muddy Point Assessment ]

# BONUS: Predictive Modeling with PCA Components 

We can use our PCA and clustering models to predict the potential malignancy of new samples:

```
## Predicting Malignancy Of New samples
url <- "https://tinyurl.com/new-samples-CSV"
new <- read.csv(url)
npc <- predict(wisc.pr, newdata=new)
plot(wisc.pr$x[,1:2], col= (diagnosis+1))
points(npc[,1], npc[,2], col="blue", pch=16)
```

[ Muddy Point Assessment ]

