

## BIMM-143: INTRODUCTION TO BIOINFORMATICS

The find-a-gene project assignment

<http://thegrantlab.org/bimm143>

Dr. Barry Grant

**Version:** 2025-04-07 (13:23:59 PDT on Mon, Apr 07)

### **Overview:**

The find-a-gene project is a required assignment for BIMM-143. You should prepare a written report in **PDF** format that has responses to each question labeled **[Q1] - [Q10]** below. You may wish to consult the scoring rubric at the end of this document and the example report provided online (note that the example report is from a *previous quarter* and the questions may differ).

The objective with this assignment is for you to demonstrate your grasp of database searching, sequence analysis, structure analysis and the R environment that we have covered in class.

### **Due Date:**

Your responses to questions Q1-Q4 are due at 12pm on the **Monday of Week 5** (see the Assignments and Grading section of our website for details). Note that these first set of answers can be obtained very quickly (at best within 15 or 20 minutes), so if you don't succeed at first, just keep trying.

The complete assignment, including responses to all questions, is due at 12pm on the **Monday of Week 10**.

### **Submission instructions:**

Your report formatted as a **PDF document** should be uploaded to **GradeScope**. Please make sure to include your UCSD email and PID number on the first page.

**Be sure to include your UCSD email and PID number on the first page of your report.**

Submit your preliminary report with answers to Q1-Q4 as soon as you can so we can determine if you have found a novel gene. Submit this preliminary report as one document with screen shots of the results inserted appropriately.

See the demonstration report linked to on the course website for an example of format. Note again that example questions may differ. I will indicate on GradeScope my decision (1pt indicating all is good, 0pts revisions required). You should proceed with subsequent questions only after we are sure you have found a novel gene (and thus be successful in the later stages of the project).

For the final report add your results for Q5-Q10 to the preliminary report and submit the final document containing your results for all questions.

**Please do not send only Q5-Q10 answers as the final report.**

### **Questions:**

**[Q1]** Tell me the name of a protein you are interested in. Include the species, accession number and known function. This can be a human protein or a protein from any other species as long as its function is known.

If you do not have a favorite protein, select human RBP4 or KIF11. Do not use beta globin as this is in the worked example report that I provide you with online.

**[Q2]** Perform a BLAST search against a DNA database, such as a database consisting of genomic DNA or ESTs. The BLAST server can be at NCBI or elsewhere. Include details of the BLAST method used, database searched and any limits applied (e.g. Organism).

Also include the output of that BLAST search in your document. If appropriate, change the font to Courier size 10 so that the results are displayed neatly. You can also screen capture a BLAST output (e.g. alt print screen on a PC or on a MAC press ⌘-shift-4. The pointer becomes a bulls eye. Select the area you wish to capture and release. The image is saved as a file called Screen Shot [ ] .png in your Desktop directory). It is **not** necessary to print out all of the blast results if there are many pages.

On the BLAST results, clearly indicate a match that represents a protein sequence, encoded from some DNA sequence, that is homologous to your query protein. I need to be able to inspect the pairwise alignment you have selected, including the E value and score. It should be labeled a "genomic clone" or "mRNA sequence", etc. - but include no functional annotation.

In general, [Q2] is the most difficult for students because it requires you to have a “feel” for how to interpret BLAST results. You need to distinguish between a perfect match to your query (i.e. a sequence that is not “novel”), a near match (something that might be “novel”, depending on the results of [Q4]), and a non-homologous result.

If you are having trouble finding a novel gene try restricting your search to an organism that is poorly annotated.

**[Q3]** Gather information about this “novel” **protein**. At a minimum, show me the protein sequence of the “novel” protein as displayed in your BLAST results from [Q2] as FASTA format (you can copy and paste the aligned sequence subject lines from your BLAST result page if necessary) or translate your novel DNA sequence using a tool called EMBOSS Transeq at the EBI. Don’t forget to translate all six reading frames; the ORF (open reading frame) is likely to be the longest sequence without a stop codon. It may not start with a methionine if you don’t have the complete coding region. Make sure the sequence you provide includes a header/subject line and is in traditional FASTA format.

Here, tell me the name of the novel protein, and the species from which it derives. It is very unlikely (but still definitely possible) that you will find a novel gene from an organism such as *S. cerevisiae*, human or mouse, because those genomes have already been thoroughly annotated. It is more likely that you will discover a new gene in a genome that is currently being sequenced, such as bacteria or plants or protozoa.

**[Q4]** Prove that this gene, and its corresponding protein, are novel. For the purposes of this project, “novel” is defined as follows. Take the protein sequence (your answer to [Q3]), and use it as a query in a blastp search of the nr database at NCBI.

- If there is a match with 100% amino acid identity to a protein in the database, from the same species, then your protein is NOT novel (even if the match is to a protein with a name such as “unknown”). Someone has already found and annotated this sequence, and assigned it an accession number.
- If the top match reported has less than 100% identity, then it is likely that your protein is novel, and you have succeeded.
- If there is a match with 100% identity, but to a different species than the one you started with, then you have likely succeeded in finding a novel gene.
- If there are no database matches to the original query from [Q1], this indicates that you have partially succeeded: yes, you may have found a new gene, but no, it is not actually homologous to the original query. You should probably start over.

**[Q5]** Generate a multiple sequence alignment with your novel protein, your original query protein, and a group of other members of this family from different species. A typical number of proteins to use in a multiple sequence alignment for this assignment purpose is a minimum of 5 and a maximum of 20 - although the exact number is up to you. Include the multiple sequence alignment in your report. Use Courier font with a size appropriate to fit page width.

Side-note: Indicate your sequence in the alignment by choosing an appropriate name for each sequence in the input unaligned sequence file (i.e. edit the sequence file so that the species, or short common, names (rather than accession numbers) display in the output alignment and in the subsequent answers below). The goal in this step is to create an interesting alignment for building a phylogenetic tree that illustrates species divergence.

**[Q6]** Create a phylogenetic tree, using either a parsimony or distance-based approach. Bootstrapping and tree rooting are optional. Use “simple phylogeny” online from the EBI or any respected phylogeny program (such as MEGA, PAUP, or Phylip). Paste an image of your Cladogram or tree output in your report.

**[Q7]** Generate a sequence identity based **heatmap** of your aligned sequences using R. If necessary convert your sequence alignment to the ubiquitous FASTA format (Seaview can read in clustal format and “Save as” FASTA format for example). Read this FASTA format alignment into R with the help of functions in the **Bio3D package**. Calculate a sequence identity matrix (again using a function within the Bio3D package). Then generate a heatmap plot and add to your report. Do make sure your labels are visible and not cut at the figure margins.

**[Q8]** Using R/Bio3D (or an online blast server if you prefer), search the main protein structure database for the most similar atomic resolution structures to your aligned sequences.

List the top 3 *unique* hits (i.e. not hits representing different chains from the same structure) along with their Evalue and sequence identity to your query. Please also add annotation details of these structures. For example include the annotation terms PDB identifier (structureId), Method used to solve the structure (experimentalTechnique), resolution (resolution), and source organism (source).

HINT: You can use a single sequence from your alignment or generate a consensus sequence from your alignment using the Bio3D function `consensus()`. The Bio3D functions `blast.pdb()`, `plot.blast()` and `pdb.annotate()` are likely to be of most relevance for completing this task. Note that the results of `blast.pdb()` contain the hits PDB identifier (or `pdb.id`) as well as Evalue and identity. The results of `pdb.annotate()` contain the other annotation terms noted above.

Note that if your consensus sequence has lots of gap positions then it will be better to use an original sequence from the alignment for your search of the PDB. In this case you could chose the sequence with the highest identity to all others in your alignment by calculating the row-wise maximum from your sequence identity matrix.

**[Q9]** Using [AlphaFold notebook](#) generate a structural model using the default parameters for your novel protein sequence.

Note that this can take some time depending upon your sequence length. If your model is taking many hours to generate or your input sequence yields a “too

many amino acids” (i.e. length) error you can focus on a single domain from your sequence - identify region by searching for [PFAM](#) domain matches.

Once complete save the resulting PDB format file for your records. Finally, generate a molecular figure of your generated PDB structure using the **Mol\* viewer** online (or VMD/PyMol/Chimera if you prefer). To complete your analysis you should highlight *conserved residues* that are likely to be functional as **spacefill** and the protein as **cartoon** colored by local alpha fold *pLDDT quality score*. You can determine conserved residues from the alignment generated by the AlphaFold server and use a conservation cutoff appropriate for the diversity of your protein alignment (e.g. between 60% and 99% conserved). Note that *pLDDT* score is contained in the B-factor column of your PDB downloaded file. Please use a white or transparent background for your figure (i.e. not the default black in PyMol/VMD/Chimera etc.).

**[Q10]** (i) Using your computed structure model (or your closest homologue of known structure from the PDB) predict and locate potential small molecule binding sites using the CASTpFold server ( <https://cfold.bme.uic.edu/castpfold/> ). Provide an image or screen-shot of your largest predicted pockets “negative volume” and provide it’s **area** and **volume**.

(ii) Perform a “Target” search of ChEMBEL ( <https://www.ebi.ac.uk/chembl/> ) with your novel sequence. Are there any **Target Associated Assays** and **ligand efficiency data** reported that may be useful starting points for exploring potential inhibition of your novel protein? If there are no assays listed here simply list “non available as of [date]”.

(iii) Briefly discuss (100 words max) the **druggability** of your novel protein based on:

- Presence of well-defined pockets (output of tools like CASTpFold),
- Existence of known inhibitors for related proteins (your search of ChEMBEL),
- Conservation of binding sites across homologs (your conservation analysis in Q10),
- Potential therapeutic applications if this protein were targeted (you can use ChatGPT, Claude etc. backed up by your reading of the literature here).

**Scoring Rubric:** [60 total points available]

**Q1** (4 points)

|                  |   |
|------------------|---|
| Protein name     | 1 |
| Species          | 1 |
| Accession number | 1 |
| Function known   | 1 |

**Q2** (6 points)

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Blast method                     | 1 |
| Database searched                | 1 |
| Limits applied                   | 1 |
| Search output list (top hits)    | 1 |
| Alignment of choice              | 1 |
| Evalue and other alignment stats | 1 |

**Q3** (3 points)

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Protein sequence of choice matches Subject above | 1 |
| Name in header                                   | 1 |
| Species  | 1 |

**Q4** (3 point)

|   |   |
|---|---|
| Blastp output list with identities & Evalue   | 1 |
| Top alignment shown with alignment statistics | 1 |
| Results indicates a “novel” gene found        | 1 |

**Q5** (3 points)

|   |   |
|---|---|
| MSA labeled with useful names                         | 1 |
| MSA trimmed appropriately (i.e. no gap overhangs)     | 1 |
| Pasted MSA fits report page width (i.e. font, format) | 1 |

**Q6** (1 point)

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Figure illustrates sequence clustering pattern | 1 |
|--|---|

**Q7 (10 points)**

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Heatmap figure included in report            | 5 |
| Heatmap is legible (i.e. no labels obscured) | 5 |

**Q8 (10 points)**

|  |   |
|--|---|
| PDB identifiers from multiple species reported     | 5 |
| Annotation of PDB source, resolution and technique | 4 |
| Annotation of Evalue and Sequence Identity         | 1 |

**Q9 (10 points)**

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Structure figure provided                          | 2 |
| Uses white background for molecular figure         | 1 |
| Figure of high resolution (i.e. not just snapshot) | 1 |
| Conserved residues as spacefill                    | 3 |
| Protein cartoon colored by pLDDT quality score     | 3 |

**Q10 (10 points)**

|   |   |
|---|---|
| i) Binding site image, volume and area. | 3 |
| ii) Evidence of ChEMBL searches         | 1 |
| iii) Druggability discussion            | 6 |